

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,085	09/09/2003	Rene Perrot	CS-21,376	CS-21,376 9162	
27182	7590 09/21/2005		EXAMINER		
PRAXAIR, INC.		MCDONALD, RODNEY GLENN			
LAW DEPARTMENT - M1 557 39 OLD RIDGEBURY ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DANBURY, CT 06810-5113			1753		

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/657,085	PERROT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Rodney G. McDonald	1753	

	Rodney G. McDonald	1753					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 07 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or to TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origith than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause				
<ul> <li>(a)          \overline{\text{They raise new issues that would require further conditions}}</li> <li>(b)        \overline{\text{They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)}}</li> <li>(c)        \overline{\text{They are not deemed to place the application in betom conditions}}</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or	., .						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **		(570) 664)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	•	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided in the control of the control		Il be entered and an e	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			•				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	, , ,	•				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
2.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
3. Other:		Moles July July Rodney G. McDone	Sent 1				
		Rodney G. McDona Primary Examiner	ald				

Art Unit: 1753



## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation wherein at least about fifty percent of the front surface is frusta-conically configured was not considered in the finally rejected claims..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the final rejection and for the reasons set forth in the note above..